

CA20N
CI 10
-85S03

GOVT



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2022 with funding from
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/31761114690704>

ETHNOCULTURAL
DATA BASE MATERIALS
SERIES III

SPECIAL REPORT NO. 3

ONTARIO'S ETHNOCULTURAL POPULATION, 1981:
SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS
AND GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTIONS

AZDN
CI 10
-85503

*The Ontario Ministry of
Citizenship and Culture*

CA 20N
CI 10
- 85 SO3

ETHNOCULTURAL
DATA BASE MATERIALS

SERIES III

SPECIAL REPORT NO. 3

ONTARIO'S ETHNOCULTURAL POPULATION, 1981:
SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS
AND GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTIONS

A report prepared for the
Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture by
Professor L.S. Bourne Professor A.M. Baker Professor W. Kalbach
with the assistance of

W. Banon D. Green M. Richard
R. Cressman B. Matthews S. Schulte

Centre for Urban and Community Studies
University of Toronto
1985

Commissioned by:
Ontario Ministry of
Citizenship and Culture 1985


ISSN 0714-1297
ISBN-0-7729-0034-5
D1582 10/85 1M

(18)

Distributed by:
Ethnocultural Data Office
Multicultural Program
77 Bloor Street West, 5th Floor
Toronto, Ontario M7A 2R9
Telephone: (416) 965-5280

Ontario Ministry 77 Bloor Street West
of Citizenship Toronto, Canada
and Culture M7A 2R9





ISSN 0714-1297
ISBN-0-7729-0034-5
D1582 10/85 1M

Copies available from the Ontario Government Bookstore,
880 Bay Street, Toronto for personal shopping.
Out-of-town customers write to Publications Services Section,
5th Floor, 880 Bay St., Toronto, Ontario, M7A 1N8.
Telephone: (416) 965-6015. Toll-free long distance -
1-800-268-7540; in Northwestern Ontario 0-Zenith 67200.

Copyright 1985 Government of Ontario

Published by the Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Printed by the Queen's Printer for Ontario.
Province of Ontario, Toronto, Canada.

Foreword


As Minister responsible for multiculturalism and citizenship in Ontario, I am pleased to introduce **Ontario's Ethnocultural Population 1981: Socio-Economic Characteristics and Geographical Distributions**. The report, the first to be based on ethnic origin, supplements the ongoing series of mother tongue publications produced as part of this Ministry's Ethnocultural Data Base.

This volume provides a comprehensive overview of the province and focuses on five major urban centres. Key points are identified in the Highlights sections to assist in the use of the report.

Further information is available within my Ministry to augment the findings. We welcome your inquiries.



Lily Munro
Minister



Preface

Ontario's Ethnocultural Population 1981: Socio-Economic Characteristics and Geographical Distributions has been produced for the Ethnocultural Data Base of the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture as part of its Multicultural Program, in support of the Ministry's lead role in multiculturalism. The major purpose of the Ethnocultural Data Base is to provide the Ontario Government with information about the patterns of residential settlement and the socio-demographic characteristics of Ontario's ethnocultural groups. As well, the information provided in this publication is expected to be helpful to other levels of government, business and industry, community agencies, ethnic and multicultural organizations, post-secondary institutions, and the public generally.

This is the first of the Ethnocultural Data Base publications to be based on ethnic origin in the 1981 census (previous publications have been based on mother tongue or official language census data and immigration statistics). This volume, as the Introduction indicates, documents and displays in graphic and tabular form selected ethnic origin groups, showing their geographic distribution across Ontario and in a sample of five Census Metropolitan Areas as well as Metropolitan Toronto. Graphs include maps and population pyramids while tabulations pertain to demographic and socio-economic characteristics.

The basis for selection of ethnic origin groups is explained in the Introduction. Generally, this was based on the size of groups in proportion to the total population of the particular geographic area. It should be noted, however, that while the volume includes some maps and general figures for Native peoples, specific tabulations are contained in the special, detailed file on Native Peoples produced by Statistics Canada, and therefore are not included here. Further information on specific ethnic origin groups in Ontario is available from the Ethnocultural Data Office.

The report was prepared by a University of Toronto team led by Professor L.A. Bourne of the Centre for Urban and Community Studies, using data obtained from Statistics Canada through the Statistics Section of the Sectoral and Regional Policy Branch, Ontario Ministry of Treasury and Economics. General direction to the project was provided by Dorene E. Jacobs, Research Officer, and Maryelle Tetreault, Assistant Research Officer, Ethnocultural Data Office, Ministry of Citizenship and Culture. Assistance in preparing the manuscript was provided by Rajale Matuk.

Additional copies may be obtained from the Ontario Government Bookstore along with other Ethnocultural Data Base publications.

The Ontario Government Bookstore is located at 880 Bay Street, Toronto for personal shopping. Out-of-town customers may write to Publications Services, 5th Floor, 880 Bay Street, Toronto, Ontario M7A 1N8. In Metropolitan Toronto, call 965-6015. Outside Metro, dial 1-800-268-7540, toll free. In area code 705, call the operator and ask for Zenith 67200.

Further information about the Ethnocultural Data Base is available from:

Ethnocultural Data, Multicultural Program
Ministry of Citizenship and Culture
77 Bloor Street West, 5th Floor
Toronto, Ontario
M7A 2R9

Telephone: (416) 965-5280

Contents

Page

SECTION 1	
Introduction	1-6
SECTION 2	
Socio-Economic and Demographic Characteristics of Ontario's Population, for Selected Ethnic Origin Groups	7-32
SECTION 3	
Age and Sex Composition of Ontario's Ethnic Origin Groups	33-38
SECTION 4	
Ontario in Maps: The Geographical Distribution of Ethnic Origin Groups (A Mini-Atlas)	39-56
SECTION 5	
Ontario's Urban Areas in Maps: The Geographical Distribution of Ethnic Origin Groups Within Selected Metropolitan Areas (A Mini-Atlas)	57-122
SECTION 6	
Conclusions and Suggestions for Further Research	123-126
APPENDICES:	
A Selected Census Definitions	
B Comparison of Ethnic Origin Classifications	127-138

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was prepared under a grant from the Citizenship Development Branch of the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture to the Centre for Urban and Community Studies at the University of Toronto. The maps in Sections 4 and 5 were prepared through the Simulation Lab, Department of Geography and the Age-Sex Pyramids in Section 3 were prepared in the Population Research Lab at Erindale College. The report was typed by Sharon Bolt with assistance from Susan Dentelbeck.

SECTION I: INTRODUCTION

Ontario's population, particularly in the decades since World War II, has become increasingly diverse in both social and ethnocultural terms. Rapid changes in the province's demography, economy and in life styles, and waves of distinctive new immigrants, have altered age structures, household sizes and family composition, as well as the traditional cultural base of the society as a whole. One dimension through which this diversity can be measured is that of the ethnic origins of the population.

1.1 Objectives

The primary purpose of this report is to document and to display in graphic form, the diverse ethnic origins and socio-economic characteristics of the population of Ontario and of its major cities. More precisely, the report provides simple counts of the population by ethnic origin (as declared in the 1981 Census), tabulations of selected socio-economic and demographic characteristics for each of the larger ethnic groups, and a mini-atlas of the geographical distribution of the fifteen largest groups in the province of Ontario and in a sample of its census metropolitan areas.

By 1981 nearly 40 percent of Ontario's population, and 50 percent of Metropolitan Toronto's population, could trace their cultural roots to origins other than British or French. Despite the well-known difficulties of defining ethnicity and of measuring ethnic origins, the 1981 Census data serve as a useful composite index of the rich social attributes which characterize a multicultural society.

The principal ingredients of the report are the tables, graphs and maps. In most instances these illustrations are allowed to speak for themselves. Our mandate was to provide a graphic display and description of ethnic origins, not to analyze nor interpret the data in detail. To assist the reader, however, we identify highlights in the tables and maps. Detailed evaluations and explanations of the relationships and patterns revealed must be left to subsequent projects. As such the present report should serve as a substantive resource and information base on which other studies can build. There are numerous questions raised by these data which suggest the need for further examination.

1.2 Data Sources and Tabulations

The data on which this report is based were derived from the 1981 Census, in both published and unpublished tabulations. In that census a single question on the long-form (B) questionnaire, sent to a 20 percent sample of all households, asked

respondents to 'declare' their ethnic origins. Specifically, the respondent was asked to state the ethnic or cultural group to which the respondent or the respondent's ancestors belonged on first coming to this continent. Unlike previous censuses, the 1981 Census did not require respondents to trace their roots exclusively through their paternal ancestry. Moreover, for the first time Statistics Canada coded more than one origin or group*, adding further complexity to the data base. This report deals only with single origins.

Statistics Canada also coded the responses to this question into some 200 different categories denoting ethnic origin. These categories were then aggregated into a smaller number of groups for the published (print and tape) versions of the Census. The User Summary Tapes, for example, contain counts of the population by ethnic origin for differing aggregations, the most detailed of which contains only 42 groups (see Appendix B).

For this project a special tabulation of the census data on ethnic origins was obtained from Statistics Canada by the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture. This tabulation provided detailed population counts for Ontario's 62 largest ethnic origin groups for each census division in the province and census tract in urban Ontario. The classification itself was specified by the Ministry (see Appendix B). These data, for the province as a whole, are summarized in Table 1 in Section 2.

Additional data on the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of these 62 groups were obtained by the Ministry through special tabulations of census data. For selected ethnic groups (except for Native Peoples), the following variables, cross-tabulated by age and sex, were made available:

- 1) marital status
- 2) household and family structure
- 3) period of immigration
- 4) level of education
- 5) occupation and class of worker

* This change in procedures, allowing for multiple ethnic origins, affects the comparability of the 1981 and 1971 Census data for single ethnic origins. The totals for single ethnic origins in 1981 used in this report are likely to be underestimates of the total that would have been obtained had the 1971 Census procedures been retained.

- 6) labour force participation
- 7) average household income

It is from this rich array of cross-tabulated data that the tables in the following section were prepared.

1.3 The Classification Scheme

Any attempt to classify and describe people on the basis of such elusive concepts as cultural background or ethnicity is certain to face serious difficulties. No single classification of ethnicity will suffice for all purposes and all groups of peoples. The 1981 Census data on ethnic origin are no exception, and must be interpreted with some caution. In those instances in which culture, language and political boundaries have been relatively coincident over time, as in the case of Sweden or Denmark, the level of ambiguity is low and the problems of classification are minor. On the other hand, where political boundaries have changed and population mobility over successive generations has been relatively high, serious problems of interpretation may arise. Where ethnic intermarriage has occurred among subsequent generations born in Canada, both the meaning and significance of ethnic origins become increasingly problematic.

Establishing the ethnic origins of immigrants to Canada from other parts of the New World, particularly from Latin America and the Caribbean, proved to be the most difficult problem. These groups could appropriately claim their country of origin on coming to Canada or the country or cultural group of their ancestors on immigrating to the country of origin*. For the most part these limitations affect the smaller ethnic origin groups, particularly recent immigrant populations.

1.4 Map Design: The Computer-Based Mini-Atlas

To undertake an atlas of the geographical distribution of ethnic groups in Ontario and its major urban areas required a number of a priori decisions on selecting the groups to be mapped as well as on details of cartographic design. First, it was not feasible to provide maps for every one of 62 groups for Ontario and for each of the census metropolitan areas (CMAs). Moreover, the relatively small size of many of the groups (as listed in Table 1), combined with the statistical errors inherent in data based on a 20 percent sample, precluded their disaggregation into small geographic units (such as census tracts) for mapping purposes.

* For example, a Spanish-speaking immigrant from Chile whose ancestors initially came from Germany could declare his or her ethnic origin as any one or a combination of Spanish, Chilean (Latin American) or German. We have no way of knowing what proportions chose which category.

Consequently, the decision was made to provide maps for only those groups which represented 1.0 percent or more of the provincial population. This encompassed 13 ethnic groups, to which the Black and the Yugoslavian populations (at 0.9 percent each) were added for a total of 15 maps for Ontario.

Since the population composition of each urban area differs substantially (see Table 2), and as the number of geographic subdivisions (i.e. census tracts) increased, this criterion had to be modified for the metropolitan areas. A minimum percentage of 1.5 percent of total metropolitan area population was employed in identifying the ethnic groups to be mapped. One of the unique features of this part of the study is that it does incorporate the entire census metropolitan area and thus displays the distributions of ethnic groups in outer suburban areas.

Selecting the metropolitan areas to be included was based on three considerations: the need for regional balance within the province, the objective of illustrating the range of ethnic diversity in our cities, and the availability of geographic co-ordinates from the 1981 Census. The file of geographic co-ordinates necessary to produce computer maps from the 1981 Census, as prepared by Statistics Canada (labelled the CARTLIB file), unfortunately contained data for only three of Ontario's census metropolitan areas (Toronto, Ottawa, Hamilton)*. As these three were considered to be an inadequate sample of the province's metropolitan population, the project team undertook to prepare appropriate 1981 co-ordinates for census tracts in two additional metropolitan areas in northern Ontario (Sudbury and Thunder Bay) to provide a more balanced regional coverage. For each of these five metropolitan areas, maps were then produced for those ethnic groups representing more than 1.5 percent of the total population of that metropolitan area. This resulted in the following number of maps: Toronto CMA (12), Metropolitan Toronto (12), Ottawa (5), Hamilton (8), Sudbury (6) and Thunder Bay (8). The specific ethnic groups mapped for each urban area varies accordingly. Note that maps are included for the Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto as well as the CMA in order to highlight the patterns in the central area of Toronto.

* The CARTLIB file from the 1976 Census does provide co-ordinates for 10 metropolitan areas in Ontario, but because of changes in the number and boundaries of census tracts between 1976 and 1981, the 1976 file is not compatible with the 1981 Census data.

Finally, to display any set of data geographically requires some form of aggregation. That aggregation in turn influences the images conveyed by the graphs and maps. In designing the class intervals for the maps in Sections 4 and 5, it was decided to use five categories of equal size (quintiles) for each ethnic group, irrespective of the size of that group.* This ensures that the spatial distribution of each group (measured in terms of their proportion of the total population of each census tract) is treated in a consistent fashion, but it also tends to inflate the importance of variations in residential patterning for the smaller groups. Thus, the maps are primarily intended to facilitate comparisons on a relative rather than absolute scale.

1.5

Organization of the Report

The body of the report is divided into four major sections. Section 2 provides a tabular summary of the size and socio-economic and demographic characteristics of each of the 23 largest ethnic groups in Ontario and in its ten census metropolitan areas. Section 3 graphically illustrates differences in the age-sex composition of ethnic origin groups through the use of population pyramids. Sections 4 and 5 together constitute the mini-atlas of 66 maps displaying where in Ontario and in its cities these ethnic groups live. Section 4 contains 15 maps for Ontario showing the proportion of the population in each census division represented by each major ethnic group. Section 5 provides 51 maps illustrating similar proportions for each census tract in the following urban areas: Toronto CMA; Metropolitan Toronto; Hamilton CMA; Ottawa CMA (Ontario part only); Sudbury CMA and Thunder Bay CMA. Section 6 provides a short summary with suggestions for future research and policy investigation. Two appendices provide definitions of the census terms used and the detailed classification of ethnic origin groups.

In two cases, more than one-fifth of the census tracts had data values of zero (the Jewish populations in the Toronto CMA and Metro). Consequently a division into five groups would have placed some of these zero values into the second group as well as the first group, making interpretation difficult. Instead, a division into four groups was used for these two maps. For all of the urban maps, census tracts having total populations of less than 200 were omitted entirely from the data, and shown as blanks on the maps.

*

1.6 A Cautionary Note

Readers of this report should be aware of the limitations and potential biases inherent in the census data on ethnic origins. It is worth emphasizing those limitations here. First, it should be recalled that the responses to the census question reflect the respondents' perceptions of their ethnocultural background and thus are subject to rather wide interpretation. Some respondents will follow language, others religion and still others the country of origin or birth. Second, members of a family may trace different roots through either their paternal or maternal histories, or both. Others may simply not know the origins of their ancestors, while others may have erroneous impressions. Furthermore, the totals for the various ethnic origin groups used in this report do not include those who listed more than one ethnic or cultural group in the census questionnaire. The population of multiple origin groups warrants a separate study. In addition, census data for ethnic origin groups based on individuals (e.g. age, sex, education) are not always comparable with those based on households (e.g. household income). In the latter, the entire household is assigned to the ethnic origin group of the head of household (person 1 in the 1981 Census).

Still other limitations, as noted earlier, arise from the classification scheme employed. At each stage in the process of tabulating the census data, decisions had to be taken regarding the aggregation of individual ethnic origins which effectively limited subsequent reclassification. Particularly complex problems arose with such diverse groups as Indo-Pakistani, Jewish, Africans and Blacks and those from Balkan countries. Perhaps the most serious problems, cited above, related to groups who arrived in Canada from other New World regions, notably Latin-America and the Caribbean. For the latter groups, the census data on ethnic origin need to be supplemented by other information, such as language, religion and place of birth.

Despite these limitations, we should emphasize that these data represent the most comprehensive and reliable source of information available on the ethnocultural composition of Ontario's population. As such they warrant a careful and thorough examination.

**SECTION 2: SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF ONTARIO'S POPULATION,
FOR SELECTED ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS***

List of Tables:

- Table 1: Ethnic Origin Groups in Ontario, 1981
- Table 2: Ethnic Origin Groups in Ontario's Census Metropolitan Areas, 1981
- Table 3: Selected Socio-Economic Characteristics of Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981
- Table 4: Age Structure of Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981
- Table 5: Ethnic Origin by Period of Immigration, Ontario, 1981
- Table 6: Family Structure of Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981
- Table 7: Level of Schooling of Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981
- Table 8: Occupational Status of Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981
- Table 9: Average Household Income, Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario, 1981
- Table 10: Indices of Dissimilarity in Residential Patterns, Ethnic Origin Groups, Ontario CMAs, 1981

* Table 1 contains a complete listing of the 62 largest ethnic origin groups in 1981. Tables 2 through 9 inclusive provide data for the 23 largest groups (excluding Native Peoples), while Table 10 includes the largest 16 groups. In the latter cases, space limitations and the potential errors inherent in small samples precluded the inclusion of all 62 groups.

TABLE 1: HIGHLIGHTS: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO, 1981

- o Of a total Ontario population of 8.5 million in 1981 (for which ethnic origin data are available) just under 91 percent declared a single origin, and 9 percent multiple origins.
- o The provincial population, in terms of ethnic origins, is clearly multicultural (nearly 40% claimed neither British nor French origins).
- o Under 53 percent (52.6) declared their ethnic origin as British (including English, Scottish, Irish, Welsh, and other British).
- o Ten ethnic groups, including the British, had populations over 100,000 in 1981.
- o The next largest ethnic groups, with over 100,000 population, included the French (7.7%), Italian (5.7%), German (4.4%), Dutch (2.2%), Ukrainian (1.6%), Jewish (1.5%), Portuguese (1.5%), Polish (1.4%) and Chinese (1.4%).
- o Ethnic groups made up primarily of recent immigrants represent relatively small proportions of the total population.
- o The visible minority population is estimated at 490,000 or 5.8 percent of the total population.
- o Of all multiple ethnic origins declared (9.2%), the majority represented a combination involving British origins, and 2.4 percent claimed both British and French origins.
- o The wide variety of ethnic origins which could be reported in the Census suggests caution in interpretation of these data (see Appendix B for classifications of ethnic origin groups).

TABLE 1: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO, 1981¹

	TOTAL POPULATION ²	PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION
		NUMBER	TOTAL POPULATION		
SINGLE ORIGINS	7,751,615	90.8	100.0	Black	80,365
British	4,487,800	52.6		Caribbean Black	65,140
English	2,817,200	33.0		African Black	3,205
Irish	534,075	6.3		Canadian Black	345
Scottish	630,030	7.4		Other Black ³	11,675
Welsh	17,395	0.2		Yugoslavian	78,145
British N.O.S.*	487,830	5.7		Croatian	24,640
Other British	1,265	0.0**		Serbian	7,025
French	652,900	7.7		Slovenian	5,040
Italian	487,310	5.7		Yugoslavian N.O.S.*	41,440
German	373,390	4.4		Magyar-Hungarian	59,140
Dutch	191,125	2.2		Scandinavian	40,355
Ukrainian	134,000	1.6		Danish	14,200
Jewish	131,320	1.5		Icelandic	1,940
Portuguese	129,005	1.5		Norwegian	8,215
Polish	122,940	1.4		Swedish	12,820
Chinese	118,640	1.4		Scandinavian N.O.S.*	3,165
Indo-Pakistani	93,990	1.1		Far East Asian	36,335
Bengali	320	0.0**		Japanese	16,685
Gujarati	880	0.0**		Korean	13,880
Punjabi	1,820	0.0**		Malay	4,790
Singhalese	390	0.0**		Other Asian N.O.S.*	4,980
Tamil	360	0.0**		Baltic	35,600
Bangladesh	210	0.0**		Estonian	11,800
Pakistani	8,335	0.1		Latvian	11,735
Sri-Lanka	1,550	0.0**		Lithuanian	12,065
East Indian N.O.S.*	33,820	0.4		Philippino	35,245
Other Indo-Pakistani	46,315	0.5		Finnish	33,400
Greek	85,955	1.0		Czech and Slovak	33,025
Native Peoples	83,860	1.0		Czech	9,835
Status Indian	60,635	0.7		Slovak	12,645
Non-Status Indian	14,130	0.2		Lebanese	10,550
Métis	8,530	0.1		Czechoslovakian	26,330
Inuit	565	0.0**		Asian Arab	0.3

TABLE 1: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO, 1981 (continued)

	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION
Canadian	21,860	0.3	North African Arab	3,535
Belgian	17,910	0.2	Egyptian	0.0**
Austrian	15,145	0.2	North African Arab NOS*	3,320
Maltese	14,525	0.2	Iranian	215
Indo-Chinese	12,815	0.2	Turkish	2,740
Burmese	200	0.0**	Bulgarian	2,545
Cambodian	885	0.0**	American	1,885
Laotian	2,465	0.0**	Indonesian	1,710
Thai	200	0.0**	Albanian	925
Vietnamese	9,070	0.1	Byelorussian	855
Swiss	11,755	0.1	Fijian	625
Macedonian	9,905	0.1	Polynesian	245
Other African ⁴	9,675	0.1	Israeli	185
Armenian	9,660	0.1	Luxembourg	165
Latin American	9,105	0.1	Other Single Origins	130
Argentinian	675	0.0**		0.1
Brazilian	670	0.0**	MULTIPLE ORIGINS	11,480
Chilean	1,075	0.0**	British and French	782,650
Cuban	225	0.0**	British and Other	201,415
Ecuadorian	815	0.0**	French and Other	375,800
Haitian	285	0.0**	British, French and Other	45,145
Mexican	1,235	0.0**	European and Other ⁵	50,110
Peruvian	415	0.0**	Native Peoples and Other ⁶	83,980
Other Latin American NOS*	3,710	0.0**		26,200
Russian	8,715	0.1		0.3
Romanian	8,170	0.1		

*N.O.S. = not otherwise specified **0.0 = less than 0.05 percent

¹The 1981 Census is the first to accept more than one ethnic origin for an individual.

²Excludes inmates

³See also Other African

⁴Includes South African, Rhodesian and Other African

⁵Includes multiple origins involving European, Jewish and Other origins not included elsewhere

⁶Includes multiple origins involving Native Peoples and British, French, European, Jewish or Other origins.

Source: 1981 Census, Statistics Canada
COMPILED FOR: ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE, ONTARIO MINISTRY OF CITIZENSHIP AND CULTURE

TABLE 2: HIGHLIGHTS: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO'S CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREAS, 1981

- o Ontario's metropolitan areas (CMAs) are surprisingly diverse in terms of the ethnic origins of their population.
- o The most British cities are London (64.6%) and Oshawa (64.6%); the least British are Sudbury (32.1%) and Thunder Bay (39.6%).
- o The most French cities are Sudbury (34.6%) and Ottawa (22.2%); the most Italian is Toronto (10.0%); the most German is Kitchener-Waterloo (19.6%); and the most Ukrainian is Thunder Bay (7.9%).
- o The Toronto CMA, as expected, is the most diverse overall with 16 different ethnic groups each representing 1.0 percent or more of the total population.
- o Other small but unique concentrations appear, such as the Finnish population in Thunder Bay (6.3%) and Sudbury (3.0%), and the Yugoslavian population in Hamilton (2.3%) and Windsor (2.4%).
- o Ethnic diversity among Ontario's urban areas mirrors such variables as population size (e.g. Toronto), location (e.g. Ottawa) and the historical effects of chain migrations in which new immigrants tend to follow earlier immigrants of similar ethnic origins to particular cities.
- o London and Oshawa are the least diverse cities in terms of ethnic origin.
- o The disproportionate size of the Toronto CMA, and its attractiveness for new immigrants makes its ethnic communities relatively large; for example, Toronto's Italian population represents 61 percent of the Ontario Italian population and its Jewish population represents 83 percent of the provincial Jewish population. In contrast, the Toronto CMA as a whole contains about 35% of the provincial population (in 1981).

TABLE 2: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO'S CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREAS, 1981 (in percentages)

Ethnic Origin Groups (in %)	ONTARIO	St.								
		Hamilton	Kitchener Waterloo	London	Oshawa	Catharines	Thunder Bay	Toronto	Windsor	
1. British ¹	52.6	46.4	64.6	42.5	49.7	32.1	39.6	46.7	41.1	
2. French	7.7	3.4	2.8	4.3	7.3	34.6	5.7	2.5	16.2	
3. Italian	5.7	7.7	1.1	2.6	2.9	9.2	5.5	8.1	7.7	
4. German	4.4	3.8	19.6	4.2	2.8	6.1	2.2	2.7	3.6	
5. Dutch	2.2	3.2	1.9	3.5	2.8	1.2	3.2	0.6	0.8	
6. Ukrainian	1.6	2.2	1.1	1.1	2.8	0.8	3.1	2.3	2.1	
7. Jewish ²	1.5	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.3	1.4	0.3	0.1	0.8	
8. Portuguese	1.5	1.2	3.7	1.5	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.2	
9. Polish	1.4	2.3	1.9	1.3	2.3	0.9	2.4	1.2	1.7	
10. Chinese	1.4	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5	1.5	0.3	0.4	3.0	
11. Indo-Pakistani ³	1.1	0.8	1.1	0.5	0.5	1.1	0.3	0.2	2.3	
12. Greek	1.0	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.2	2.2	
13. Native Peoples ⁴	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	1.0	0.2	
14. Black ⁵	1.0	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.1	2.4	
15. Yugoslavian ⁶	0.9	2.3	1.3	0.7	0.5	0.2	1.2	1.0	1.3	
16. Magyar-Hungarian	0.7	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.3	1.8	0.2	0.8	
17. Scandinavian ⁷	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	
18. Far East Asian ⁸	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	-	0.1	
19. Baltic ⁹	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.5	
20. Philippino	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	-	1.0	
21. Finnish	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	3.0	0.3	
22. Czech & Slovak ¹⁰	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.9	
23. Asian Arab ¹¹	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.1	1.1	0.1	0.2	1.1	
24. Spanish	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	
Other Groups	11.2	10.7	13.6	10.8	11.0	17.5	12.1	13.7	15.4	
TOTAL POPULATION (IN 000s)	8534	538	285	280	153	542	302	149	120	2975
										244

TABLE 2: ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS IN ONTARIO'S CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREAS, 1981 (in percentages) (continued)

Notes

¹Includes English, Irish, Scottish, Welsh, British not otherwise specified, and other British.

²Includes individuals reporting Jewish ethnic origin and Jewish religion.

³Includes Bengali, Gujarati, Punjabi, Singhalese, Tamil, Bangladeshi, Pakistani, Sri Lankan.

⁴Includes Status Indian, Non-Status Indian, Métis, and Inuit.

⁵Includes Caribbean, African Black, Canadian Black, and other Black not elsewhere specified.

⁶Includes Croatian, Serbian, Slovenian, and Yugoslavian not otherwise specified.

⁷Includes Danish, Icelandic, Norwegian, Swedish, and Scandinavian not otherwise specified.

⁸Includes Japanese, Korean, Malay, and other Far East Asian not otherwise specified.

⁹Includes Estonian, Latvian, and Lithuanian.

¹⁰Includes Czech, Slovak, and Czechoslovakian.

¹¹Includes Lebanese, Palestinian, Syrian, and Asian Arab not otherwise specified.

TABLE 3: HIGHLIGHTS: SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

- o Ontario's ethnic groups are highly varied in terms of socio-economic and demographic characteristics.
- o These differences reflect the size of the groups, their country of origin and period of immigration, as well as life style and cultural background (see Tables 5 and 6).
- o The proportion born abroad varies from 81.6 percent for Phillipino to 2.6 percent for the French population (compared to 23.7% for the total provincial population).
- o The most recent immigrants, in terms of the proportion immigrating since 1971, include Phillipino (82.1%), Indo-Pakistani (77.3%) and Spanish (72.9%); while, of the proportionally earlier immigrants, such as the Baltic and Ukrainian groups, only 2.4% and 4.1% had immigrated since 1971.
- o The age structure of each group reflects the presence or absence of an infusion of new immigrants: the proportion over 65 years of age is lowest for the Black (2.1%) and Indo-Pakistani (2.2%) populations and highest for the Baltic (23.2%), Finnish (17.0%) and Ukrainian (14.5%) groups (see Table 4).
- o There appear to be associations between levels of education, labour force status, types of occupations and household incomes for different ethnic groups (see Tables 7, 8 and 9). These relationships warrant further study.
- o Educational levels, occupational status, and incomes tend to be higher among recent immigrant groups, reflecting in part their age structures as well as the effects of selective immigration policies and changing job markets.
- o Variations in the family structure of the ethnic populations are associated with differences in age structure and life style; earlier ethnic groups, such as the Ukrainians, Polish, Hungarian and Baltic have fewer children per family while more recent ethnic groups, such as Portuguese, Indo-Pakistani, Phillipino, and Asian Arab populations, have younger and larger families.
- o Note also that labour force participation rates tend to be highest among recent immigrant groups, particularly for females.

TABLE 3: SELECTED SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	% Born Abroad	Period of Immigration ¹		Age Structure			Family Structure		
		Before 1946 %	1971-1981 %	Less than 15 yrs. %	15 yrs. and over %	Male Female Ratio ³	Average number of children per family ⁴	Youngest child at home aged 0-9 (%) ⁵	
British	14.2	22.0	22.6	20.6	11.4	.95	1.06	30.4	
French	2.6	17.6	28.4	18.8	7.6	.97	1.26	36.5	
Italian	53.4	2.9	7.9	21.7	6.3	1.08	1.60	37.3	
German	32.2	8.0	9.1	13.8	10.7	.99	1.16	28.0	
Dutch	43.5	2.7	7.2	18.7	7.1	1.05	1.55	35.8	
Ukrainian	26.3	31.6	4.1	9.7	14.5	.99	0.99	24.3	
Jewish	34.7	24.1	26.6	18.3	14.9	1.03	1.01	27.2	
Portuguese	76.0	0.1	49.5	28.4	3.5	1.02	1.60	50.3	
Polish	45.8	15.4	13.0	10.8	13.8	1.01	0.99	25.1	
Chinese	78.1	1.0	70.0	23.8	6.1	1.01	1.51	45.8	
Indo-Pakistani	79.3	0.1	77.3	30.6	2.2	1.06	1.57	58.2	
Greek	61.6	1.5	25.6	28.3	4.5	1.06	1.63	46.2	
Black	75.4	0.4	62.0	28.7	2.1	.88	1.30	53.3	
Yugoslavian	65.8	6.5	23.9	21.7	7.1	1.06	1.34	37.1	
Magyar-Hungarian	58.7	17.7	9.5	11.0	13.4	1.06	0.97	24.6	
Scandinavian	36.1	20.3	14.3	11.0	12.9	1.07	1.03	26.5	
Far East Asian ²	49.7	5.3	70.6	22.5	6.2	1.00	1.46	41.2	
Baltic	69.6	3.7	2.4	6.6	23.2	1.00	0.73	17.4	
Philippino	81.6	-	82.1	30.1	3.8	.80	1.60	60.3	
Finnish	41.6	30.5	9.0	12.4	17.0	.87	1.02	27.6	
Czech & Slovak	58.4	29.0	13.0	12.0	13.7	1.08	1.09	26.7	
Asian Arab	65.5	1.7	63.6	26.9	5.1	1.12	1.64	49.2	
Spanish	80.5	0.4	72.9	29.0	2.6	1.00	1.45	56.1	
TOTAL POPULATION	23.7	11.4	28.6	22.1	9.4	.97	1.36	33.9	

cont'd ...

TABLE 3 : SELECTED SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981 (continued)

Ethnic Origin Group	Education ⁶		Labour Force Participation Rate ⁸		Occupation ⁹		Average Household Income ¹³ (\$)	
	Grade 8 or less %	Some post-secondary %	Female %	Male %	Managerial and Professional ¹⁰ %	Clerical, Sales and Service ¹¹ %	Manufacturing & Construction ¹² %	
British	13.4	36.3	53.7	78.7	26.0	41.7	26.8	25,427
French	23.3	28.5	52.0	79.0	20.1	40.9	32.0	22,895
Italian	39.8	23.4	56.5	82.9	13.4	38.7	43.6	28,018
German	19.1	39.0	55.1	82.1	24.1	36.4	31.4	25,403
Dutch	16.7	38.5	53.6	84.0	23.1	33.4	29.4	24,992
Ukrainian	25.3	36.2	54.6	77.0	26.3	40.8	28.5	25,842
Jewish	11.5	54.9	55.7	79.3	48.0	41.8	9.0	38,870
Portuguese	53.2	12.9	63.1	87.6	6.0	33.7	52.8	26,427
Polish	24.6	36.8	56.0	77.4	24.4	37.7	32.9	25,497
Chinese	19.2	47.9	61.2	78.6	30.4	45.7	21.8	26,993
Indo-Pakistani	10.4	60.5	64.1	86.5	28.7	38.2	31.2	28,480
Greek	41.2	23.0	57.9	83.8	12.1	53.3	24,542	32.0
Black	9.9	48.9	73.3	83.2	24.3	55.1	31.6	21,852
Yugoslavian	29.2	35.5	61.9	82.6	14.9	32.4	47.7	27,033
Magyar-Hungarian	20.8	43.7	55.6	80.2	25.7	35.8	31.0	25,457
Scandinavian	13.4	46.1	56.4	80.0	30.7	37.8	26.2	26,716
Far East Asian	9.6	53.0	62.8	82.0	31.9	44.0	21.9	27,496
Baltic	15.2	52.0	50.5	71.0	36.4	32.8	26.4	26,603
Philippino	7.5	73.6	76.9	84.8	34.1	42.8	21.6	29,414
Finnish	26.2	35.8	46.7	73.6	25.0	36.1	31.8	23,343
Czech & Slovak	18.0	48.5	55.7	79.1	33.9	34.7	26.6	28,644
Asian Arab	18.7	40.9	51.6	83.3	25.7	44.8	26.7	25,373
Spanish	17.0	41.6	58.9	86.2	14.3	34.2	48.6	23,244
TOTAL POPULATION	17.4	36.4	55.2	79.7	24.6	40.6	29.1	25,577

cont'd ...

TABLE 3: SELECTED SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981 (continued)

- NOTES:
- 1 Does not include persons born outside Canada who are Canadian citizens by birth (representing 0.8% of total population born outside Canada).
 - 2 In Tables 3-9, Far East Asian includes Japanese and Korean only. Labour force participation rates and average household income for Far East Asian are weighted averages of participation rates and average household incomes for these two groups.
 - 3 For total population.
 - 4 Includes children living with parents only. Ethnic origin of census family defined on basis of ethnic origin of husband in husband-wife families, family head in lone parent families.
 - 5 Percent of all families.
 - 6 For population age 15 and over.
 - 7 Includes any education beyond Grade 13.
 - 8 For population age 15 and older.
 - 9 For experienced labour force age 15 and over.
 - 10 Includes managerial, administrative and related occupations; occupations in natural sciences, engineering and mathematics, social sciences and related fields, religion, and medicine and health; and teaching, artistic, literary, recreational and related occupations.
 - 11 Includes clerical, sales, service, and related occupations.
 - 12 Includes processing, machining, product fabricating, assembling and repairing, transport equipment operating, material handling, other crafts and equipment operating, and construction trades occupations.
 - 13 Based on the ethnic origin of person 1 in household.

TABLE 4: HIGHLIGHTS: AGE STRUCTURE OF ETHNIC GROUPS, ONTARIO 1981

- o Detailed profiles for individual ethnic groups also reveal wide differences in age structures (see also age pyramids in Section 3).
- o Ethnic groups consisting primarily of earlier immigrants (pre-World War II and immediate post-war periods), with few recent immigrants, tend on average to have older populations.
- o Newer immigrants have much younger populations, reflecting the time of their arrival and the conditions of immigration (e.g. family/ non-family composition; and the tendency for immigration to be selective of young adults).
- o For example, over 30 percent of the Indo-Pakistani and Philippino populations are under 15 years of age (in 1981), compared to less than 10 percent for the Baltic and Ukrainian populations.
- o In contrast, over 23 percent of the Baltic population, 17 percent of the Finnish and 14.5 percent of the Ukrainian population are over 65 years of age, while only 2.1 and 2.2 percent of the Black and Indo-Pakistani populations respectively are over 65 years of age.
- o Differences in fertility levels follow from these age structures and from differing life styles and cultural backgrounds.
- o Given these age profiles the more recent non-European immigrant groups have the highest potential for rapid population growth in the future.

TABLE 4: AGE STRUCTURE OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Age Group (%)						
	Less than 5 yrs.	5-9 yrs.	10-14 yrs.	15-19 yrs.	20-29 yrs.	30-44 yrs.	45-64 yrs.
British	6.4	6.8	7.5	9.2	17.3	20.3	10.4
French	5.6	6.2	7.0	10.0	20.5	22.9	11.4
Italian	5.5	7.0	9.2	10.4	17.3	21.8	14.2
German	3.7	4.2	5.9	8.5	18.0	21.6	16.2
Dutch	5.3	5.7	7.7	11.0	20.7	20.3	13.3
Ukrainian	2.7	3.1	3.9	5.9	17.6	20.3	13.3
Jewish	6.1	6.1	6.0	6.5	16.6	22.1	9.9
Portuguese	8.8	9.5	10.0	9.8	17.9	23.4	11.7
Polish	3.4	3.3	4.1	6.6	19.0	20.3	12.5
Chinese	8.8	7.4	7.6	8.3	20.8	25.7	9.4
Indo-Pakistani	12.5	9.9	8.2	6.7	18.3	31.4	7.3
Greek	8.1	9.9	10.3	8.7	14.9	25.9	12.5
Black	9.4	9.3	10.0	9.9	17.4	30.4	8.2
Yugoslavian	5.5	7.7	8.4	7.9	15.1	25.4	14.5
Magyar-Hungarian	2.7	3.3	5.1	6.3	15.3	21.6	18.7
Scandinavian	2.4	3.7	5.0	6.5	17.0	25.6	14.3
Far East Asian	6.6	7.8	8.0	8.0	15.1	26.5	12.2
Baltic	2.1	2.0	2.5	4.7	15.7	16.0	10.7
Philippino	11.4	10.4	8.3	6.1	13.5	36.4	5.6
Finnish	3.4	4.0	5.0	6.4	15.4	22.2	14.3
Czech & Slovak	3.2	4.1	4.6	7.2	13.6	25.1	16.5
Asian Arab	10.3	8.6	7.9	8.2	19.0	25.8	9.2
Spanish	9.5	10.5	9.0	7.2	16.3	32.0	8.8
TOTAL POPULATION	6.9	7.2	7.9	9.4	17.8	21.0	10.9
							9.4
							9.4

TABLE 5: HIGHLIGHTS: ETHNIC ORIGIN BY PERIOD OF IMMIGRATION, ONTARIO, 1981

- o Each ethnic origin group has its own immigration history, as revealed in the proportions who immigrated to Canada during different time periods.
- o The earlier groups include the British, Eastern and Northern Europeans; the highest proportions of pre-World War II or pre-1950 immigrants are for the Eastern European populations, in part because of low levels of immigration since 1960.
- o The most recent immigrant groups, as noted in Table 3, include the Phillipino, Indo-Pakistani, Spanish, Chinese, other Far East Asian (Japanese and Korean primarily), and Asian Arab populations.
- o The immigration of Italians was fairly high during the 1950s and 1960s but dropped significantly during the 1970s.
- o Other groups, notably the Portuguese and Greek populations, show high proportions immigrating in the 1960s and early 1970s, but with relatively low levels since the mid 1970s.
- o Note that period of immigration is highly correlated with other attributes, such as age structure (Table 4), family structure (Table 6), and education, occupation and income (Tables 7, 8 and 9 respectively).

TABLE 5: ETHNIC ORIGIN BY PERIOD OF IMMIGRATION, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Before 1946	1946- 1950	Period of Immigration ¹ (%)						% of Group Born Outside Canada
			1951- 1955	1956- 1960	1961- 1965	1966- 1970	1971- 1975	1976- 1981	
British	22.0	7.9	10.5	12.3	8.1	16.6	13.1	9.5	14.2
French	17.6	4.6	9.0	10.5	11.0	18.8	16.0	12.3	2.6
Italian	2.9	3.9	21.8	25.4	18.3	19.7	5.5	2.4	53.4
German	8.0	6.2	35.8	24.1	8.2	8.6	4.5	4.6	32.2
Dutch	2.7	16.1	47.2	17.1	4.3	5.4	3.1	4.1	43.5
Ukrainian	31.6	31.6	20.1	6.4	2.9	3.2	2.2	1.9	26.3
Jewish	24.1	12.7	9.9	9.9	6.3	10.4	10.9	15.7	34.7
Portuguese	0.1	0.1	1.4	8.4	13.6	26.9	36.7	12.8	76.0
Polish	15.4	25.8	16.3	11.3	9.8	8.4	6.4	6.6	45.8
Chinese	1.0	1.1	3.0	3.2	4.3	17.4	30.8	39.2	78.1
Indo-Pakistani	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.7	3.4	18.3	48.7	28.6	79.3
Greek	1.5	1.9	8.4	15.9	18.1	28.6	18.5	7.0	61.6
Black	0.4	0.3	0.6	2.1	6.3	28.3	39.1	22.9	75.4
Yugoslavian	6.5	4.8	8.5	15.9	12.2	28.2	16.9	7.0	65.8
Magyar-Hungarian	17.7	6.0	8.5	41.7	6.0	10.6	5.2	4.3	58.7
Scandinavian	20.3	5.5	18.0	25.6	6.2	10.1	7.7	6.6	36.1
Far East Asian	5.3	-	0.4	1.8	2.6	19.3	44.1	26.5	49.7
Baltic	3.7	51.2	32.6	5.7	2.0	2.5	1.3	1.1	69.6
Philippino	-	-	-	0.2	2.7	15.1	43.8	38.4	81.6
Finnish	30.5	2.8	21.5	19.1	5.8	11.2	4.9	4.1	41.6
Czech & Slovak	29.0	8.9	8.0	2.0	2.0	37.1	4.8	8.2	58.4
Asian Arab	1.7	0.7	3.0	4.9	5.6	20.4	30.7	32.9	65.5
Spanish	0.4	0.2	1.2	3.1	5.7	16.5	42.5	30.4	80.5
TOTAL POPULATION	11.4	7.1	13.7	13.3	9.0	16.9	16.3	12.3	23.7

Notes: 1 Does not include persons born outside Canada who are Canadian citizens by birth.

TABLE 6: HIGHLIGHTS: FAMILY STRUCTURE OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

- o Given wide differences in the age structure and cultural background of individual ethnic groups, we would expect parallel variations in family structure, fertility and household size.
- o The proportion of families with young children is highest for recent immigrant groups, such as Phillipino (60.3%), Spanish (56.1%) and Indo-Pakistani (53.3%) and lowest for the earlier groups, such as the Baltic (17.4%) and Magyar-Hungarian (24.6%) populations (see Table 3).
- o The proportion of husband-wife families with no children at home tends to highlight the same groups with the addition of the Jewish population.
- o Average family size reflects these age differences as well as life style.
- o Average number of children per family follows a parallel pattern (see Table 3), varying from less than 1.0 for the Baltic and Eastern European populations to over 1.6 for the Italian, Greek, Portuguese and Arab populations.
- o Similarly, the proportion of lone-parent (female only) families mirrors the earlier age profiles, and also cultural differences; over 23 percent of Black families are lone-parent families, compared to only 5 percent for the Portuguese, Indo-Pakistani, Italian and Greek populations.

TABLE 6: FAMILY STRUCTURE OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Total Families ¹ (000's)	Lone Parent Families ² % of Total Families	Husband-Wife Families			Marital Status ⁵	
			With Youngest Child		Age 0-9(%) ³	Now Married	Divorced
			With No Children	Age 0-9(%) ³	%	%	%
British	1,182	9.8	36.2	29.8	40.8	61.7	2.7
French	179	10.6	37.5	36.4	32.6	62.9	2.4
Italian	138	4.9	21.7	38.1	23.0	66.4	0.9
German	116	7.4	27.7	28.0	38.2	66.6	2.4
Dutch	55	5.5	35.0	35.9	31.2	66.6	1.6
Ukrainian	43	9.2	23.2	24.4	43.1	64.0	3.0
Jewish	36	6.6	27.8	27.2	45.0	63.7	3.2
Portuguese	34	5.2	34.6	51.1	19.4	71.6	0.8
Polish	39	8.6	27.5	24.8	42.6	64.4	2.6
Chinese	29	7.6	22.6	47.8	25.2	60.9	0.8
Indo-Pakistani	24	5.2	26.6	60.0	18.4	71.8	1.4
Greek	23	5.3	32.5	46.9	18.7	69.7	1.4
Black	22	23.1	49.3	54.5	21.4	54.3	3.5
Yugoslavian	23	5.7	27.7	37.7	27.6	67.5	2.4
Magyar-Hungarian	20	7.8	23.1	24.7	42.7	65.4	4.2
Scandinavian	13	7.6	31.7	26.1	43.6	67.7	3.0
Far East Asian	8	7.2	21.7	42.8	23.5	64.4	1.2
Baltic	12	7.5	16.9	17.5	53.5	63.3	3.0
Philippino	8	10.2	38.0	62.8	17.0	65.1	0.7
Finnish	10	9.4	19.2	28.5	42.2	64.5	2.0
Czech & Slovak	11	7.0	22.4	27.1	39.2	65.2	3.1
Asian Arab	7	8.9	25.4	51.5	23.3	62.3	1.6
Spanish	6	10.5	42.9	57.7	21.8	69.2	2.0
TOTAL POPULATION	2,236	9.3	40.7	33.2	36.5	62.1	2.5

Notes:

¹Based on ethnic origin of husband in husband-wife families and family head in lone parent families.²Includes female lone parent families only (Male lone parent families represent 1.9%).³Percent of all husband-wife families of each ethnic origin.⁴Percent of all lone parent families of each ethnic origin.⁵For population age 15 years and older.

TABLES 7, 8, 9: HIGHLIGHTS: LEVEL OF SCHOOLING, OCCUPATIONAL STATUS AND HOUSEHOLD INCOME

- o Education levels, occupation and income are frequently combined into a composite index of socio-economic status (SES), and thus are treated together in these notes.
- o Among the larger ethnic groups in Ontario, these three indices are closely associated, but with some important exceptions.
- o Educational attainment (Table 7) particularly to university levels, is highest for recent immigrants such as Phillipino, Indo-Pakistani and Chinese, and for the Jewish population.
- o Higher status occupations (Table 8) also tend to be more common among the same groups.
- o Low educational levels and service or manual occupations are more typical of earlier post-war immigrant groups, such as Portuguese and Italian.
- o Other groups are relatively greater in specific occupations, such as Jewish (20.0%) in management, Greeks (34.7%) and Chinese (20.4%) in the service sector, the Dutch (12.3%) in farming and Phillipino (28.6%) in clerical occupations.
- o Average income levels for households reflect these occupational-educational differences, with the highest incomes found among recent immigrants and the Jewish population.
- o Note that differences in income also are influenced by such factors as age structure, labour force participation rates (particularly for females), the number of wage earners in the household and the size of households (see Table 3).

TABLE 7: LEVEL OF SCHOOLING OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Level of Schooling (%)				Population over 15		Total Population age 15 and over (000's)
	Less than Grade 5	Grade 5-8	9-10	11-13	Post-secondary/ non-university	University	
British	1.7	11.7	17.4	32.9	20.1	16.3	3,561
French	4.6	18.7	18.6	29.6	17.0	11.5	530
Italian	13.2	26.6	12.0	24.8	13.8	9.6	382
German	1.9	17.3	13.4	28.5	24.1	15.0	322
Dutch	1.0	15.7	14.1	30.6	25.0	13.5	155
Ukrainian	7.9	17.4	12.7	25.9	17.9	18.2	121
Jewish	3.6	7.9	8.4	25.2	13.2	41.7	107
Portuguese	30.3	22.9	14.7	19.1	9.0	3.9	92
Polish	6.7	17.9	11.7	27.0	18.5	18.3	110
Chinese	8.9	10.3	10.4	22.5	16.5	31.4	90
Indo-Pakistani	4.3	6.1	8.8	20.4	20.6	39.9	65
Greek	10.2	31.0	13.1	22.8	13.1	9.9	62
Black	1.7	8.2	14.3	26.8	31.9	17.0	64
Yugoslavian	9.0	20.2	9.7	25.5	21.0	14.5	61
Magyar-Hungarian	2.8	18.1	9.9	25.5	23.7	20.0	53
Scandinavian	1.3	12.1	12.6	27.9	24.9	21.3	36
Far East Asian	2.3	7.3	8.7	28.7	16.4	36.5	24
Baltic	4.3	11.0	8.3	24.5	20.4	31.6	33
Philippino	2.4	5.1	6.0	12.9	10.5	63.1	25
Finnish	6.2	20.0	12.5	25.5	21.5	14.3	29
Czech & Slovak	3.0	15.0	8.7	24.8	23.3	25.2	29
Asian Arab	8.3	10.4	13.0	27.4	16.6	24.3	19
Spanish	3.1	13.9	15.0	26.3	19.9	21.7	18
TOTAL POPULATION	3.6	13.8	15.8	30.4	19.5	16.9	6,650

TABLE 8: OCCUPATIONAL STATUS OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Occupational Categories ¹ (%)						Total Experienced Labour Force (000's)
	Managerial & Administrative & Related	Professional & Technical	Clerical & Related	Sales Service	Farming and Other Primary	Construction Trades	
British	10.2	15.9	21.4	9.6	10.7	4.0	21.9
French	7.1	13.1	19.6	8.0	13.3	5.4	25.4
Italian	5.7	7.7	16.7	8.5	13.4	1.7	30.6
German	9.5	14.6	17.5	8.8	10.1	6.8	25.0
Dutch	9.0	14.1	15.3	8.8	9.4	12.7	22.2
Ukrainian	9.7	16.6	20.2	9.0	11.6	2.9	24.1
Jewish	20.0	28.1	18.8	18.7	4.3	0.4	4.4
Portuguese	2.4	3.7	11.1	4.1	18.6	4.5	7.5
Polish	8.0	16.3	18.0	7.7	12.0	3.4	37.9
Chinese	8.9	21.5	19.7	5.6	20.4	0.6	14.9
Indo-Pakistani	9.2	19.5	24.4	7.0	6.8	0.5	30.0
Greek	6.2	5.8	12.0	6.6	34.7	0.9	28.4
Black	5.4	18.9	24.6	5.0	12.7	0.5	20.5
Yugoslavian	5.0	9.9	13.2	5.7	13.5	2.7	1.5
Magyar-Hungarian	8.6	17.1	16.1	8.8	10.9	5.9	7.5
Scandinavian	12.4	18.3	18.2	9.7	9.9	4.2	30.0
Far East Asian	11.0	20.9	21.3	13.8	8.9	1.1	26.8
Baltic	11.3	25.1	18.5	7.4	7.0	2.8	20.2
Philippino	5.0	29.1	28.6	3.2	11.0	0.2	21.2
Finnish	7.7	17.3	18.3	7.3	10.4	6.1	19.8
Czech & Slovak	10.1	23.8	17.1	7.9	9.7	3.5	21.1
Asian Arab	11.5	14.2	16.7	11.1	17.0	1.4	20.8
Spanish	4.3	10.1	13.6	4.4	16.2	0.9	10.6
TOTAL POPULATION	9.2	15.4	19.9	9.1	11.6	4.1	23.5
							5.6
							4420

NOTES: ¹Excludes other occupations

TABLE 9: AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD INCOME, ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	Total Households ¹ (000's)	Less Than \$5,000 ²	\$5,000 - \$9,999	Household Income Categories (%)					Average Household Income (\$)
				\$10,000 -14,999	\$15,000 -19,999	\$20,000 -\$24,999	\$25,000 -\$34,999	\$35,000 & Over	
British	1,626	6.0	13.5	12.5	12.1	12.6	20.6	22.7	25,427
French	239	8.1	14.3	12.2	12.9	14.1	20.6	17.7	22,895
Italian	148	4.2	7.2	8.7	11.8	15.2	26.8	26.2	28,018
German	152	5.7	12.7	12.3	12.1	13.4	21.2	22.6	25,403
Dutch	68	5.4	10.8	12.7	13.5	14.7	22.5	20.3	24,992
Ukrainian	60	6.0	13.6	11.3	11.0	12.4	20.9	24.8	25,842
Jewish	51	5.4	10.4	10.0	8.9	9.5	16.8	39.0	38,870
Portuguese	53	4.5	5.0	8.8	13.7	17.8	29.0	21.2	26,427
Polish	52	6.2	13.0	11.9	11.7	12.7	21.9	22.6	25,497
Chinese	33	9.5	8.7	10.0	10.8	11.6	22.3	27.2	26,993
Indo-Pakistani	26	5.2	5.0	8.9	12.1	15.6	26.6	26.6	28,480
Greek	24	5.9	8.3	13.0	15.6	16.4	22.1	18.6	24,542
Black	29	9.5	12.4	15.0	12.8	13.5	20.5	16.3	21,852
Yugoslavian	27	5.4	9.5	9.8	10.4	13.8	25.9	25.3	27,033
Magyar-Hungarian	27	6.9	15.2	11.5	11.0	12.6	20.5	22.2	25,457
Scandinavian	18	4.9	12.7	12.4	11.9	10.8	20.6	26.6	26,716
Far East Asian	10	5.9	8.2	10.0	11.8	12.5	22.0	29.3	27,496
Baltic	16	4.9	14.0	12.2	10.7	11.4	20.1	26.7	26,603
Philippino	9	3.4	4.1	7.8	9.9	13.8	30.0	31.2	29,414
Finnish	14	6.8	19.0	12.4	10.5	12.6	18.3	20.4	23,343
Czech & Slovak	15	6.2	12.6	10.2	11.1	11.3	21.1	27.5	28,644
Asian Arab	8	7.3	12.3	11.1	13.8	14.7	18.5	22.4	25,373
Spanish	7	7.8	8.9	12.6	17.5	15.1	22.4	15.6	23,244
TOTAL POPULATION	2,970	6.3	12.6	12.0	12.1	13.1	21.2	22.7	25,577

Notes: See Table 3

1 Based on ethnic origin of person 1 in household

2 Includes households without incomes

TABLE 10: HIGHLIGHTS: INDICES OF DISSIMILARITY IN RESIDENTIAL PATTERNS, ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO
CMAs, 1981

- o Each ethnic group has a more or less distinct residential pattern within Ontario's metropolitan areas (see maps in Section 5).
- o Calculation of Indices of Dissimilarity, measuring the degree to which the distribution of population within urban areas for each group differs from that of the base population, reveals a full range of residential concentration and dispersion.
- o Those groups with residential patterns most similar to that of the British population are the earlier immigrant groups: the Germans, French, Ukrainian and Polish.
- o Those groups with the most dissimilar patterns are the more recent immigrants and those with distinctive cultural cohesion: the highest indices are for the Portuguese (62.6), Jewish (59.6), Indo-Pakistani (49.6), Chinese (49.1) and Finnish (49.0) populations.
- o Variations in residential patterns between urban areas tend to reflect the size and characteristics of the ethnic group and the local geography of each urban area.

TABLE 10: INDICES OF DISSIMILARITY¹ IN RESIDENTIAL PATTERNS, ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, ONTARIO CMAs, 1981

Ethnic Origin Group	HAMILTON	KITCHENER	LONDON	OSSHAWA	OTTAWA (Only Partly)	CATHARINE ST.	SUDBURY	THUNDERBAY	TORONTO	WINDSOR	GRUPPE MEAN	GROUP STANDARD DEVIATION
1. BRITISH	15.1	15.5	8.5	8.4	21.8	14.2	15.7	11.8	26.3	10.3	14.8	5.7
2. GERMAN	17.1	23.7	11.1	12.0	18.8	25.7	16.4	11.7	19.3	15.1	17.1	5.0
3. FRENCH	18.6	13.6	14.8	15.9	40.2	30.8	32.6	11.3	19.7	20.8	21.8	9.5
4. UKRAINIAN	22.4	25.4	25.4	26.3	25.2	16.8	25.8	20.4	34.5	19.5	24.2	4.9
5. POLISH	27.9	21.2	27.4	27.6	25.8	24.8	29.3	13.5	38.8	26.5	26.3	6.4
6. DUTCH	34.1	20.0	24.5	22.7	29.6	33.3	34.7	38.1	32.6	22.4	29.2	6.3
7. MAGYAR-HUNGARIAN	23.5	24.0	26.4	23.7	43.0	36.9	40.7	33.8	30.8	27.2	31.0	7.3
8. ITALIAN	37.3	26.6	30.8	23.7	41.9	33.1	44.4	20.4	50.5	29.3	33.8	9.6
9. YUGOSLAVIAN	46.5	38.3	31.2	34.2	50.9	33.0	43.6	41.9	36.0	33.6	38.9	6.6
10. BLACK	49.6	36.6	45.7	42.1	44.3	54.4	60.5	55.1	38.5	45.1	47.2	7.7
11. GREEK	45.3	43.2	37.7	48.9	44.5	48.3	61.2	53.8	46.2	43.9	47.3	6.4
12. FINNISH	63.7	54.4	56.1	46.6	54.2	47.9	45.1	32.7	43.3	46.2	49.0	8.5
13. CHINESE	50.6	50.9	42.7	41.9	34.8	55.6	57.3	55.9	45.3	55.5	49.1	7.6
14. INDO-PAKISTANI	49.0	39.1	50.8	43.5	43.4	56.7	62.4	56.3	41.0	53.3	49.6	7.7
15. JEWISH	68.0	43.0	59.4	49.1	41.8	63.3	75.0	61.4	74.1	60.4	59.6	11.7
16. PORTUGUESE	64.4	54.5	53.8	52.3	59.3	75.9	72.9	56.3	68.7	67.9	62.6	8.5
CMA MEAN	39.6	33.1	34.1	32.4	38.8	40.4	35.9	40.4	40.4	40.4	36.1	

¹These Indexes of Dissimilarity measure the unevenness in the spatial distribution of each ethnic origin group as compared to the distribution of the balance of the CMA population. The ID is calculated as the sum of the differences between the proportional distributions of the two populations, divided by two. The maximum value of 100 represents complete segregation, while the minimum value of 0 means the two populations being compared have identical distributions.

SECTION 3: AGE AND SEX COMPOSITION OF ONTARIO'S ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS, 1981

List of Age-Sex Pyramids


1. Total Population and British
2. French
3. Italian
4. German
5. Dutch
6. Ukrainian
7. Jewish
8. Portuguese
9. Polish
10. Chinese
11. Indo-Pakistani
12. Greek
13. Black
14. Yugoslavian
15. Hungarian-Magyar
16. Scandinavian
17. Far East Asian
18. Baltic
19. Philippino
20. Finnish
21. Czechoslovakian
22. Asian Arab
23. Spanish

SECTION 3: HIGHLIGHTS: AGE-SEX POPULATION PYRAMIDS FOR SELECTED ETHNIC GROUPS


- o Population pyramids display the cumulative effects of fertility, mortality and migration experience over time on the relative distribution of a population's age and sex groups.
- o Ontario's pyramid is typical of a population which has had its younger adult population reinforced by immigration, but not at sufficiently high enough levels to prevent population aging as a consequence of several decades of declining fertility.
- o As Ontario's population is 53% British origins, its age-sex pyramid is very similar to that for the British origin population alone. The juxtaposition of these two pyramids emphasizes their similarity, yet, at the same time shows that the British population is somewhat older, having relatively higher proportions over 65 years of age.
- o For comparative purposes, each ethnic group pyramid is superimposed over the pyramid for the population of British origins which has been the historically dominant group in the settlement and social and economic development of Ontario.
- o The 23 ethnic groups included in this section tend to fall into two basic but contrasting types, i.e., a top-heavy pyramid with disproportionately greater numbers in the older age groups; and, a younger broader-base type pyramid with disproportionately greater numbers of children and a relatively young adult working-age bulge.
- o The "top-heavy" pyramids are typical of older established immigrant groups for which recent postwar immigration has not been sufficient to compensate for long term fertility decline. Ethnic populations of this type, e.g., the Germans, Ukrainians, Polish, Hungarian-Magyar, Czechoslovakians, Scandinavians, Finns and especially Baltic origins will comprise an increasingly smaller proportion of Canada's population unless they experience a resurgence of immigration of young working-age adults and families.
- o The contrasting broader-base type, with its significantly younger population and greater potential for growth through natural increase and continuing immigration, is found among the most recent immigrants, e.g., the Philippinos, Spanish, Indo-Pakistani, Asian-Arabs, Chinese and Blacks.
- o Continuation of the demographic trends reflected in the various ethnic population pyramids will contribute to significant changes in the ethnic composition of Ontario's population.

AGE-SEX COMPOSITION ONTARIO 1981


TOTAL POPULATION AND BRITISH




FRENCH



ITALIAN




UKRAINIAN



BRITISH
UKRAINIAN

POPULATION RESEARCH LAB
SOCIOLOGY ERINDALE


DUTCH



BRITISH
DUTCH

POPULATION RESEARCH LAB
SOCIOLOGY ERINDALE

GERMAN




BRITISH
GERMAN


POPULATION RESEARCH LAB
SOCIOLOGY ERINDALE

AGE-SEX COMPOSITION ONTARIO 1981


JEWISH




PORTUGUESE




POLISH




CHINESE




GREEK




BLACK




YUGOSLAVIAN




HUNGARIAN-MAGYAR




SCANDINAVIAN



FAR EAST ASIAN




BALTIc


BRITISH
SCANDINAVIANBRITISH
FAR EAST ASIANBRITISH
BALTIcPOPULATION RESEARCH LAB
SOCIOLoGY ERINDALE

AGE-SEX COMPOSITION ONTARIO 1981


CZECHOSLOVAKIAN




FINNISH



PHILIPPINO




ASIAN ARAB



BRITISH
CZECHOSLOVAKIAN

SPANISH



BRITISH
SPANISH

BRITISH
ASIAN ARAB

ONTARIO


SECTION 4: ONTARIO IN MAPS:

THE GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS

List of Maps (in order of group size)

1. British
2. French
3. Italian
4. German
5. Dutch
6. Ukrainian
7. Jewish
8. Portuguese
9. Polish
10. Chinese
11. Indo-Pakistani
12. Greek
13. Native Peoples
14. Black
15. Yugoslavian


ONTARIO CENSUS DIVISIONS - 1981



ONTARIO BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	15.17
MAXIMUM %	:	80.95
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
BRITISH POPULATION	:	4,487,800
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% BRITISH	:	52.59



N

- 0.00% TO 43.05%
- 43.06% TO 53.48%
- 53.48% TO 64.73%
- 64.74% TO 73.19%
- 73.20% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	1.61
MAXIMUM %	:	76.36
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
FRENCH POPULATION	:	6552,900
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% FRENCH	:	7.65



N


- 0.00% TO 2.41%
- 2.42% TO 3.23%
- 3.24% TO 5.65%
- 5.66% TO 15.22%
- 15.23% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.13
MAXIMUM %	:	10.96
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
ITALIAN POPULATION	:	487,310
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% ITALIAN	:	5.71



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.70
MAXIMUM %	:	21.26
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
GERMAN POPULATION	:	373,390
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% GERMAN	:	4.38




Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO

DUTCH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.49
MAXIMUM %	:	8.80
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
DUTCH POPULATION	:	191,125
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% DUTCH	:	2.24



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.08
MAXIMUM %	:	6.95
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
UKRAINIAN POPULATION	:	134,000
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% UKRAINIAN	:	1.57



N


- 0.00% TO 0.40%
- 0.41% TO 0.52%
- 0.53% TO 0.90%
- 0.91% TO 1.80%
- 1.81% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO JEWISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	4.61
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
JEWISH POPULATION	:	131,320
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% JEWISH	:	1.54




- 0.00% TO 0.05%
- 0.06% TO 0.08%
- 0.09% TO 0.14%
- 0.15% TO 0.30%
- 0.31% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO PORTUGUESE ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	3.51
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
PORTUGUESE POPULATION	:	129,005
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% PORTUGUESE	:	1.51



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO POLISH ETHNIC ORIGIN — 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.09
MAXIMUM %	:	6.48
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
POLISH POPULATION	:	122,940
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% POLISH	:	1.44



N ↑


- 0.00% TO 0.36%
- 0.37% TO 0.57%
- 0.58% TO 0.94%
- 0.95% TO 1.40%
- 1.41% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO CHINESE ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	3.66
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
CHINESE POPULATION	:	118,635
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% CHINESE	:	1.39



N


- 0.00% TO 0.15%
- 0.16% TO 0.25%
- 0.26% TO 0.36%
- 0.37% TO 0.51%
- 0.52% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO INDO-PAKISTANI ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	2.84
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
INDO-PAKISTANI POPULATION	:	93,990
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% INDO-PAKISTANI	:	1.10



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO GREEK ETHNIC ORIGIN — 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	2.80
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
GREEK POPULATION	:	85,955
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% GREEK	:	1.01



Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO NATIVE ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.05
MAXIMUM %	:	30.31
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
NATIVE POPULATION	:	83,295
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% NATIVE	:	0.98




Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO BLACK ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	2.72
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
BLACK POPULATION	:	90,035
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% BLACK	:	1.05




Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

ONTARIO YUGOSLAVIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS DIVISION

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	2.79
NO. OF CENSUS DIVISIONS	:	53
YUGOSLAVIAN POPULATION	:	78,140
TOTAL POPULATION	:	8,534,260
% YUGOSLAVIAN	:	0.92



N

- 0.00% TO 0.06%
- 0.07% TO 0.12%
- 0.13% TO 0.22%
- 0.23% TO 0.65%
- 0.66% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

**SECTION 5: ONTARIO'S URBAN AREAS IN MAPS: THE GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF ETHNIC ORIGIN GROUPS
WITHIN SELECTED CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREAS**

List of Maps (in order of group size)

<u>Toronto, CMA</u>	<u>Metro Toronto</u>	<u>Ottawa, CMA</u> (Ontario part)	<u>Sudbury, CMA</u>
1 British	13	25 British	38 French
2 Italian	14	26 French	39 British
3 Jewish	15	27 Italian	40 Italian
4 Portuguese	16	28 German	41 Finnish
5 Chinese	17	29 Chinese	42 Ukrainian
6 German	18	<u>Hamilton, CMA</u>	
7 French	19	30 British	43 German
8 Black	20	31 Italian	44 British
9 Indo-Pakistani	21	32 German	45 Italian
10 Greek	22	33 French	46 Ukrainian
11 Ukrainian	23	34 Dutch	47 Finnish
12 Polish	24	35 Polish	48 French
		36 Yugoslavian	49 German
		37 Ukrainian	50 Polish
			51 Native Peoples

TORONTO CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREA


2006 Census
Community Profile Series

Statistics Canada

TORONTO CMA

BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT




MINIMUM % : 7.50
MAXIMUM % : 80.49
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS : 608
BRITISH POPULATION : 1,390,000
TOTAL POPULATION : 2,975,495
% BRITISH : 46.71

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA

ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	81.12
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
ITALIAN POPULATION	:	297,205
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% ITALIAN	:	9.99


- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 1.72%
- 1.73% TO 3.16%
- 3.17% TO 5.98%
- 5.99% TO 14.34%
- 14.35% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA

JEWISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT




MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	67.69
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
JEWISH POPULATION	:	109,240
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% JEWISH	:	3.67

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00%
- 0.01% TO 0.26%
- 0.27% TO 0.63%
- 0.64% TO 2.45%
- 2.46% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA PORTUGUESE ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT




MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	63.65
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
PORTUGUESE POPULATION	:	88,885
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% PORTUGUESE	:	2.99

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.11%
- 0.12% TO 0.54%
- 0.55% TO 1.04%
- 1.05% TO 2.40%
- 2.41% AND OVER

TORONTO CMA

CHINESE ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	49.10
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
CHINESE POPULATION	:	89,590
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% CHINESE	:	3.01

- [White Box] EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- [Diagonal Lines] 0.00% TO 0.41%
- [Horizontal Lines] 0.42% TO 1.04%
- [Cross Hatching] 1.05% TO 2.08%
- [Vertical Lines] 2.09% TO 4.08%
- [Dotted Pattern] 4.08% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA

GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	8.33
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
GERMAN POPULATION	:	82,925
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% GERMAN	:	2.79


- EXCLUDED(POP LN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 1.64%
- 1.65% TO 2.36%
- 2.37% TO 3.02%
- 3.03% TO 3.81%
- 3.82% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA

FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT




MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	16.26
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
FRENCH POPULATION	:	74,795
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% FRENCH	:	2.51

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 1.36%
- 1.37% TO 2.05%
- 2.06% TO 2.61%
- 2.62% TO 3.41%
- 3.42% AND OVER

TORONTO CMA BLACK ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981


AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	11.64
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
BLACK POPULATION	:	72,680
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% BLACK	:	2.44

TORONTO CMA INDO-PAKISTANI ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %
MAXIMUM %
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS
INDO-PAKISTANI POPULATION
TOTAL POPULATION
% INDO-PAKISTANI


- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.26%
- 0.27% TO 0.90%
- 0.91% TO 1.72%
- 1.73% TO 3.16%
- 3.17% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA

GREEK ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	27.87
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	608
GREEK POPULATION	:	65,030
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,975,495
% GREEK	:	2.19


- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.28%
- 0.28% TO 0.77%
- 0.78% TO 1.47%
- 1.48% TO 3.24%
- 3.25% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA

UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT





MINIMUM % : 0.00
MAXIMUM % : 19.95
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS : 608
UKRAINIAN POPULATION : 50,705
TOTAL POPULATION : 2,975,495
% UKRAINIAN : 1.70

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- ▨ 0.00% TO 0.64%
- ▨ 0.65% TO 0.98%
- ▨ 0.99% TO 1.39%
- ▨ 1.40% TO 2.36%
- ▨ 2.37% AND OVER

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

TORONTO CMA POLISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT





Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO

METROPOLITAN TORONTO BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981


AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	7.50
MAXIMUM %	:	76.62
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
BRITISH POPULATION	:	912,780
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% BRITISH	:	43.05





METROPOLITAN TORONTO ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



METROPOLITAN TORONTO JEWISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT




MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	67.69
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
JEWISH POPULATION	:	97,850
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% JEWISH	:	4.62

METROPOLITAN TORONTO PORTUGUESE ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM % :	0.00
MAXIMUM % :	63.65
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS :	433
PORTUGUESE POPULATION :	70,405
TOTAL POPULATION :	2,120,260
% PORTUGUESE :	3.32

- [] EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- [] 0.00% TO 0.11%
- [] 0.12% TO 0.54%
- [] 0.55% TO 1.04%
- [] 1.05% TO 2.40%
- [] 2.41% AND OVER



METROPOLITAN TORONTO CHINESE ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT




MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	49.10
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
CHINESE POPULATION	:	77,760
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% CHINESE	:	3.67

METROPOLITAN TORONTO GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	7.81
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
GERMAN POPULATION	:	53,440
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% GERMAN	:	2.52


- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- ▨ 0.00% TO 1.64%
- ▨ 1.66% TO 2.36%
- ▨ 2.37% TO 3.02%
- ▨ 3.03% TO 3.81%
- ▨ 3.82% AND OVER



METROPOLITAN TORONTO FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.00
MAXIMUM %	16.26
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	433
FRENCH POPULATION	51,030
TOTAL POPULATION	2,120,260
% FRENCH	2.41



METROPOLITAN TORONTO BLACK ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.00
MAXIMUM %	11.64
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	433
BLACK POPULATION	57,755
TOTAL POPULATION	2,120,260
% BLACK	2.72

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)

0.00% TO 0.39%


0.40% TO 0.86%

0.88% TO 1.83%

1.94% TO 3.68%

3.69% AND OVER

N




Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO INDO-PAKISTANI ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.26%
- 0.27% TO 0.90%
- 0.91% TO 1.72%
- 1.73% TO 3.16%
- 3.17% AND OVER



MINIMUM %	=	0.00
MAXIMUM %	=	17.72
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	=	433
INDO-PAKISTANI POPULATION	=	52,375
TOTAL POPULATION	=	2,120,260
% INDO-PAKISTANI	=	2.47

Produced by the Centre for Urban and Community Studies,
and the Department of Geography, University of Toronto,
for the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture.
Data Source : 1981 Census of Canada.

METROPOLITAN TORONTO GREEK ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT




METROPOLITAN TORONTO UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT


MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	19.95
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	433
UKRAINIAN POPULATION	:	38,840
TOTAL POPULATION	:	2,120,260
% UKRAINIAN	:	1.83

- [] EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- [] 0.00% TO 0.64%
- [] 0.65% TO 0.98%
- [] 0.99% TO 1.39%
- [] 1.40% TO 2.36%
- [] 2.37% AND OVER



METROPOLITAN TORONTO POLISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT




OTTAWA - HULL CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREA
(Ontario Part Only)

OTTAWA-HULL CMA (Ontario part)

BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	10.65
MAXIMUM %	:	72.93
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	134
BRITISH POPULATION	:	257,790
TOTAL POPULATION	:	542,375
% BRITISH	:	47.53




- [White square] EXCLUDED(POP LN. <200)
- [Horizontal lines] 0.00% TO 32.94%
- [Vertical lines] 32.95% TO 46.96%
- [Dots] 46.97% TO 53.40%
- [Cross-hatch] 53.41% TO 58.66%
- [Solid dark gray] 58.67% AND OVER

OTTAWA-HULL CMA (Ontario part) FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	2.50
MAXIMUM %	:	82.08
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	134
FRENCH POPULATION	:	120,675
TOTAL POPULATION	:	542,375
% FRENCH	:	22.25



OTTAWA-HULL CMA (Ontario part)

ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981


AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



OTTAWA-HULL CMA (Ontario part) GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	: 0.24
MAXIMUM %	: 8.65
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	: 134
GERMAN POPULATION	: 14,395
TOTAL POPULATION	: 542,375
% GERMAN	: 2.65



EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)

0.00% TO 1.45%

1.46% TO 2.21%

2.22% TO 2.80%


2.81% TO 3.64%

3.65% AND OVER

OTTAWA-HULL CMA (Ontario part)

CHINESE ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



HAMILTON CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREA

HAMILTON CMA

BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM % : 21.59
MAXIMUM % : 77.78
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS : 146
BRITISH POPULATION : 298,785
TOTAL POPULATION : 537,645
% BRITISH

: 55.57

EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)


0.00% TO 47.20%

47.21% TO 54.13%

54.14% TO 58.55%

58.56% TO 62.06%

62.89% AND OVER




HAMILTON CMA

ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY GENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	: 0.50
MAXIMUM %	: 45.68
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	: 146
ITALIAN POPULATION	: 41,335
TOTAL POPULATION	: 537,645
% ITALIAN	: 7.69

- [] EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- [] 0.00% TO 2.26%
- [] 2.27% TO 4.79%
- [] 4.80% TO 8.21%
- [] 8.22% TO 12.72%
- [] 12.73% AND OVER



N ↑

HAMILTON CMA

GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.63
MAXIMUM %	:	10.76
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	146
GERMAN POPULATION	:	20,420
TOTAL POPULATION	:	537,645
% GERMAN	:	3.80


	EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
	0.00% TO 2.43%
	2.44% TO 3.14%
	3.15% TO 4.13%
	4.14% TO 4.98%
	5.00% AND OVER



HAMILTON CMA FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

- [white square] EXCLUDED(POPULN. <200)
- [diagonal lines] 0.00% TO 2.02%
- [cross-hatch] 2.03% TO 2.57%
- [dots] 2.58% TO 3.44%
- [diagonal lines] 3.45% TO 4.68%
- [solid black] 4.70% AND OVER



MINIMUM %	:	0.29
MAXIMUM %	:	9.34
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	146
FRENCH POPULATION	:	18,185
TOTAL POPULATION	:	537,645
% FRENCH	:	3.38

N

HAMILTON CMA

DUTCH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



N ↑

HAMILTON CMA POLISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



HAMILTON CMA

YUGOSLAVIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

- EXCLUDED(POPULN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 0.37%
- 0.38% TO 0.82%
- 0.83% TO 1.63%
- 1.64% TO 3.86%
- 3.87% AND OVER



MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	18.37
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	146
YUGOSLAVIAN POPULATION	:	12,515
TOTAL POPULATION	:	537,645
% YUGOSLAVIAN	:	2.33

N

HAMILTON CMA UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- 0.00% TO 1.31%
- 1.32% TO 1.59%
- 1.60% TO 2.14%
- 2.15% TO 2.34%
- 2.85% AND OVER

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	7.40
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	146
UKRAINIAN POPULATION	:	11,615
TOTAL POPULATION	:	537,645
% UKRAINIAN	:	2.16




N

SUDBURY CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREA

SUDBURY CMA FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT




N

MINIMUM %	:	10.12
MAXIMUM %	:	71.55
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	36
FRENCH POPULATION	:	51,415
TOTAL POPULATION	:	148,695
% FRENCH	:	34.58

SUDBURY CMA BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	14.93
MAXIMUM %	:	51.07
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	36
BRITISH POPULATION	:	47,690
TOTAL POPULATION	:	148,695
% BRITISH	:	32.07




N

SUDBURY CMA

ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT


MINIMUM %	:	0.27
MAXIMUM %	:	31.51
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	36
ITALIAN POPULATION	:	8,110
TOTAL POPULATION	:	148,695
% ITALIAN	:	5.45



N

SUDBURY CMA FINNISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981


AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



N ↑

SUDBURY CMA UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981


AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



N

SUDBURY CMA GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



N

THUNDER BAY CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREA


THUNDER BAY CMA BRITISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



THUNDER BAY CMA ITALIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT



MINIMUM %	0.75
MAXIMUM %	20.75
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	30
ITALIAN POPULATION	9,710
TOTAL POPULATION	119,720
% ITALIAN	8.11

THUNDER BAY CMA

UKRAINIAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	2.81
MAXIMUM %	:	16.59
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	30
UKRAINIAN POPULATION	:	9,440
TOTAL POPULATION	:	119,720
% UKRAINIAN	:	7.88



N

THUNDER BAY CMA

FINNISH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	0.25
MAXIMUM %	18.27
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	30
FINNISH POPULATION	7,505
TOTAL POPULATION	119,720
% FINNISH	6.27



THUNDER BAY CMA

FRENCH ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:
MAXIMUM %	:
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:
FRENCH POPULATION	:
TOTAL POPULATION	:
% FRENCH	:

3.61	:
9.15	:
30	:
6,880	:
119,720	:
5.75	:



THUNDER BAY CMA GERMAN ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT


MINIMUM %	0.97
MAXIMUM %	5.24
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	30
GERMAN POPULATION	3,285
TOTAL POPULATION	119,720
% GERMAN	2.74



THUNDER BAY CMA POLISH ETHNIC ORIGIN – 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	1.20
MAXIMUM %	:	8.25
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	30
POLISH POPULATION	:	3,265
TOTAL POPULATION	:	119,720
% POLISH	:	2.73



- EXCLUDED(POPLN. <200)
- ▨ 0.00% TO 1.81%
- ▨ 1.82% TO 2.49%
- ▨ 2.50% TO 2.69%
- ▨ 2.70% TO 3.33%
- 3.34% AND OVER

THUNDER BAY CMA NATIVE ETHNIC ORIGIN - 1981

AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION
BY CENSUS TRACT

MINIMUM %	:	0.00
MAXIMUM %	:	5.76
NO. OF CENSUS TRACTS	:	30
NATIVE POPULATION	:	2,110
TOTAL POPULATION	:	720
% NATIVE	:	1.76



SECTION 6: CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

This report has undertaken to display in tables, graphs and maps, the composition, characteristics and geographical distribution of Ontario's population in terms of ethnocultural background. The objectives are primarily that of description and the provision of a base of information on the socio-economic, demographic and distributional properties of individual ethnic origin groups. The principal methodology employed is that of computer-generated graphs and maps. The report is intended both as a contribution in its own right to a greater awareness of Ontario's changing society, and as a first stage of a series of detailed analyses of our ethnocultural heritage as well as our future. As such it should be a valuable resource and information base on which other studies may build.

The ethnic origin data derived from the 1981 Census and the Ethnocultural Data Base available through the Ministry of Citizenship and Culture provide valuable insights on the multicultural fabric of Ontario and its metropolitan areas. The province has witnessed a significant reordering of its social character, in response to a marked demographic transition, a restructuring of the economy, life style changes and shifts in patterns of immigration. The latter, in particular, has contributed to each of these processes of change, but most notably in the realm of ethnocultural character. The effects of these changes will continue to be felt for several decades in the future.

Ontario's ethnocultural or ethnic origin populations are remarkably diverse. Each ethnic origin group has a somewhat different migration history, and more or less distinct age profiles, family structures, occupational and labour force status, levels of educational attainment and income distributions. Yet differences are not always apparent, and in some instances similarities among individual groups or with the general population are more prominent.

Perhaps the sharpest contrasts in socio-economic and demographic characteristics are found between the earlier and newer immigrant populations. Among the former, particularly those groups arriving before or immediately after World War II, and those that have not witnessed an infusion of recent immigrants, the populations tend to be much older and in certain regards to reflect the general population. Newer immigrants, in contrast, tend to be much younger on average and to have different occupational and household structures in comparison to both earlier immigrants and the general population. Each individual ethnic group bears the imprint of the time and the conditions prevailing during their period of principal immigration. The effects of international events and of the shifting priorities of government immigration policies in Canada are clearly evident. Overall the impression received from these data is that those ethnic groups represented largely by recent non-European immigrants are on average doing very well.

The distinctive social characteristics of most of the ethnic groups, again excluding some of the older Anglo-Saxon populations, are paralleled by distinctive geographical distributions. This attests to the importance of residential concentration in supporting and reflecting ethnic group cohesion and identity. The degree of ethnic group concentration revealed within our cities also reflects differences among the groups in terms of their size, social and occupational status and family structure. The ethnic mosaic in each city, moreover, is quite different. Local history, local geography and the type of economic base all play a part in shaping the composition and residential distribution of ethnic groups in Ontario's cities.

This rich array of data and graphic illustrations call out for further analysis. We need to know much more about the social and economic characteristics of each group and how these have changed over time. More specifically, cross-tabulations are required between some of the principal variables summarized in the tables in Section 2 if we are to offer reasoned explanations for the differences observed and to assess their consequences for public policy. For example, do some groups have higher (or lower)

household incomes because of their age structure, or education or occupation or because of higher labour force participation rates and larger households? Do differences in household/family composition follow from differences in age structure, social status or cultural background? To what extent are residential concentrations of ethnic groups attributable to other socio-cultural attributes in addition to ethnic origin? The outline of Ontario's future population in ethnocultural, as well as social terms, has yet to be written.

Finally, it is clear that we must go beyond the highly aggregated data examined here, through the use of special cross-tabulations, in order to assess the socio-economic characteristics and living conditions of groups with special needs within our ethnocultural population. Such groups include the handicapped, immigrant women, lone parents, youth and the elderly, and the issues include differential access to employment and housing, inadequate income levels and educational attainment. Many of these groups are lost in the summary statistics which so frequently dominate in the forums of public debate on social and economic policy.

APPENDICES:

- A. SELECTED CENSUS DEFINITIONS
- B. COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS

ETHNIC ORIGIN - Refers to the ethnic or cultural group to which the respondent's ancestors belonged on first coming to this continent. Ethnic or cultural group refers to the "roots" of the population, and should not be confused with citizenship or nationality (Based on a 1/5 sample in the 1981 Census).

Socio-Economic Variables

CENSUS FAMILY - Refers to a husband and a wife (with or without children who have never married, regardless of age), or a lone parent of any marital status, with one or more children who have never married, regardless of age, living in the same dwelling.

CENSUS FAMILY STATUS - Refers to the classification of the population into family and non-family persons. Family persons refers to household members who belong to a census family. They, in turn, are further classified as follows:

The terms Husband and Wife refer to persons living in the same dwelling as their spouse.
Lone parent refers to a mother or a father, with no spouse present, living in a dwelling with one or more never-married children.

Child refers to sons and daughters (including adopted and step-children) who have never married, regardless of age, and are living in the same dwelling as their parent(s).

Non-family persons refers to household members who do not belong to a census family. A person living alone is always a non-family person.

HOUSEHOLD - Refers to a person or group of persons (other than foreign residents) who occupy a dwelling and do not have a usual place of residence elsewhere in Canada. It usually consists of a family group, with or without lodgers, employees, etc. However, it may consist of two or more families sharing a dwelling, or a group of unrelated persons, or one person living alone.

HOUSEHOLD TOTAL INCOME - The sum of the total incomes of all members of a household. (Based on a 1/5 sample in the 1981 Census.)

LABOUR FORCE, TOTAL - Refers to the population 15 years of age and over, excluding inmates, who were either employed or unemployed during the week prior to enumeration (June 3, 1981). (Based on a 1/5 sample in 1981 Census.)

LABOUR FORCE, EXPERIENCED - The total labour force less unemployed persons 15 years of age and over who had never worked or had worked only prior to January 1, 1980.

OCCUPATION - Refers to the kind of work persons 15 years of age and over, excluding inmates, were doing during the reference week, as determined by their reporting of their kind of work and the description of the most important duties of their job. If the person did not have a job during the week prior to enumeration, the data relate to the job of longest duration since January 1, 1980. (Based on a 1/5 sample in 1981 Census.)

Geographical Terms

CENSUS DIVISION - The general term applying to counties, regional districts, regional municipalities and other types of geographic areas made up of census subdivisions.

CENSUS SUBDIVISION - The general term applying to municipalities, Indian Reserves, Indian Settlements, and unorganized territories.

CENSUS TRACT - Refers to a permanent small census geostatistical area established in large urban communities with the help of local specialists interested in urban and social science research. Census tracts must meet the following criteria:

- (a) the boundaries must follow permanent and easily recognized lines on the ground;
- (b) the population must be between 2,500 and 8,000, with a preferred average of 4,000 persons (except in the central business district, major industrial zones, or in peripheral areas);
- (c) the area must be as homogeneous as possible in terms of economic status and social living conditions; and
- (d) the shape must be as compact as possible.

CENSUS METROPOLITAN AREA - Refers to the main labour market of an urbanized core (or continuously built-up area) having 100,000 or more population. CMAs are created by Statistics Canada and are usually known by the name of the urban area forming their urbanized core. They contain whole municipalities (or census subdivisions). CMAs are comprised of (1) municipalities completely or partly inside the urbanized core; and (2) other municipalities if (a) at least 40% of the employed labour force living in the municipality works in the urbanized core, or (b) at least 25% of the employed labour force living in the municipality lives in the urbanized core.

Source: Statistics Canada

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)		1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES	
MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*		42 Groups <u>20 groups</u>	
Total Population	Total Population	Total Population	Total
Single Origins	Total Single Origins		
European Origins			
British	British	British	British
English	English	English	
Irish	Irish		
Scottish	Scottish		
Welsh	Welsh		
British, n.o.s. (1)	Other British		
British, n.e.s. (2)	Other British		
French	French	French	French
French	French	French	
Québécois, Acadian, Franco-Ontarian, etc.			
Western European			
Belgian	Belgian	Dutch	Dutch
Dutch	Dutch	Belgian and Luxembourg	
Luxembourg			
Northern European			
Finnish	Finnish	Scandinavian	Scandinavian
Scandinavian	Total Scandinavian	Danish	
Danish		Other Scandinavian	
Icelandic		Norwegian	
Norwegian		Swedish	
Swedish		Other Scandinavian	
Scandinavian, n.o.s.			

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

	1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	1981 CENSUS	
		MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	USER SUMMARY TAPES 20 groups
Central European		Austrian	Austrian
Austrian		Total Czechoslovak	Czech & Slovak
Czech & Slovak		Czech	
Czech		Slovak	
Slovak	Czechoslovakian, n.o.s.	Czechoslovakian	
German		German	German
Polish		Polish	Polish
Magyar (Hungarian)		Magyar-Hungarian	Hungarian
Swiss		Swiss	Swiss
Eastern European		Baltic	Baltic
Baltic		Total Baltic	
Estonian		Estonian	
Lettish (Latvian)		Latvian	
Lithuanian		Lithuanian	
Byelorussian		Romanian	Romanian
Romanian		Russian	Russian
Russian		Ukrainian	Ukrainian
Ukrainian		Balkans	Balkans
Southern European			
Balkans			
Albanian		Total Yugoslavian	
Bulgarian		Croatian	Croatian
Croatian, Serbian, etc.		Serbian	Serbian
Croatian		Slovene	Slovenian
Serbian		Yugoslav, n.o.s.	Yugoslavian, n.o.s.

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES	
		42 Groups	20 groups
Macedonian	Macedonian		
other Balkan, n.e.s.			
Greek	Greek	Greek	Greek
Italian	Italian	Italian	Italian
Maltese			
Portuguese		Portuguese	Portuguese
Spanish		Spanish	
Other European			
Jewish	Jewish	Jewish	Jewish
Other European, n.e.s.			
Asian and African Origins			
West Asian and North African			
Armenian	Armenian	Armenian	Armenian
Asian Arab	Asian Arab	Total Asian Arab	Asian Arab
Lebanese	Lebanese	Lebanese	
Palestinian		Other Asian Arab	
Syrian	Syrian	Other Asian Arab	
Asian Arab, n.e.s.	Asian Arab, n.e.s.	Other Asian Arab	
North African Arab	North African Arab	North African Arab	
Egyptian	Egyptian		
North African Arab, n.e.s.	North African Arab, n.e.s.		
West Asian	West Asian	West Asian	
Iranian	Iranian	Indo-Pakistani	Indo-Pakistani
Israeli	Israeli	Israeli	
Turk	Turk		
Indo-Pakistani Origins			
Bengali			
Gujarati			
Punjabi			
Singhalese			

Indo-Pakistani

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	1981 CENSUS	
		USER SUMMARY TAPES	20 groups
Tamil			
Bangladeshi, n.o.s.			
Indian, n.o.s.			
Pakistani, n.o.s.			
Sri Lankan, n.o.s.			
Other Indo-Pakistani, n.e.s.			
Far East Asia			
Chinese	Chinese	Chinese	Chinese
Indo-Chinese	Total Indo-Chinese	Total Indo-Chinese	Indo-Chinese
Burmese	Other Indo-Chinese	Other Indo-Chinese	
Cambodian	Other Indo-Chinese	Other Indo-Chinese	
Laotian	Other Indo-Chinese	Other Indo-Chinese	
Thai	Other Indo-Chinese	Other Indo-Chinese	
Vietnamese	Vietnamese	Vietnamese	
Japanese	Japanese	Japanese	
Other Far East Asia			
Malay			
Korean	Korean	Korean	
Other Far East Asia, n.e.s.			
Pacific Islands		Pacific Islands	
Fijian			
Indonesian			
Philippino	Philippino	Philippino	
Polynesian			
African			
African Black	Other Black	African	

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

<u>1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)</u>	<u>MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*</u>	<u>1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES</u>	<u>20 Groups</u>
Canadian Black	Other Black	Other Black	Other Black
Other African, n.e.s.			
Other Black, n.e.s.	Other Black		
North and South American Origins		North and South American (3)	
Native Peoples		Native Peoples	Native Peoples
Inuit			
Métis			
Status or Registered Indian			
Non-Status Indian			
Latin American	Latin American (4)		
Argentinian			
Brazilian			
Caribbean	Caribbean Black		
Chilean			
Cuban			
Ecuadorian			
Haitian			
Mexican			
Peruvian			
Other Latin American, n.e.s.			
Other Origins		Other	
American			
Canadian		Canadian	
Other Commonwealth			
Other, n.e.s.		All Other Single Origins	

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	1981 CENSUS	
		USER SUMMARY TAPES	<u>20 groups</u>
Multiple Origins	Total Multiple Origins	British and French	British and French
British and French	British and Other	British and Other	British and Other
British and Other			
European			
Western European			
Northern European			
Central European			
Eastern European			
Southern European			
Asian and African	(Israeli Multiple Origins)		
West Asian and North African			
Far East Asia and Pacific Islands			
Indo-Pakistani			
African			
North and South American			
Other, n.e.s., n.o.s.		French and Other	
French and Other			
European			
Western European			
Northern European			
Central European			
Eastern European			
Southern European			
Asian and African			
West Asian and North African			

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS CATALOGUE (92-911, Vol. 1)	MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*	1981 CENSUS USER SUMMARY TAPES	
		42 Groups	20 groups
Indo-Pakistani			
African			
Far East Asia and Pacific			
North and South American			
Other, n.o.s., n.e.s.			
British, French and Other			
European			
Asian and African			
North and South American			
Other, n.o.s., n.e.s.			
European and Other			
European only			
Asian and African			
North and South American			
Other, n.e.s., n.o.s.			
Jewish and Other			
European			
Other			
Native Peoples and Other			
Inuit and Other			
British			
French			
British and French			
European			
Other			
		Native Peoples and Other	

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF ETHNIC ORIGIN CLASSIFICATIONS (continued)

1981 CENSUS		1981 CENSUS	
MCC DETAILED ETHNOCULTURAL DATA BASE (69 groups)*		USER SUMMARY TAPES	
		42 Groups	20 groups
Status or Registered			
Indian and Other			
British			
French			
British and French			
European			
Other			
Non-status Indian/Métis			
and other			
British			
French			
British and French			
European			
Other			
Other Multiple Origins			
Total Black =			
Caribbean Black (Caribbean)			
+African Black			
+Canadian Black			
+Other Black, n.e.s.			
Total Jewish-Israeli(1)=			
Single Origin Jewish+			
Single Origin Israeli			
Total Jewish-Israeli(2)=			
Single and Multiple Origin			
Jewish + Single Origin			
Israeli			

- (1) n.o.s. = not otherwise specified.
 (2) n.e.s. = not elsewhere specified.
 (3) Does not include Native Peoples.

*MCC= Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture (numerical criteria determined the selection of books - detailed tabulations)

31761 114690704

